

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT

Routing Slip

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI		✓ w/o	att	
2	DDCI		✓ " "		
3	EXDIR		✓ " "		
4	D/ICS				
5	DDI				
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/EEO				
14	D/Pers				
15	D/OEA				
16	C/PAD/OEA				
17	SA/IA				
18	AO/DCI				
19	C/IPD/OIS				
20	NIO/SP	✓	w/att		
21	C/ACIS	✓	w/o att		
22					
SUSPENSE		Date			

Remarks:

DI Executive Secretary

11/2/80

Date

Page Denied

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied

TOP SECRET

25X1

2 November 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: National Intelligence Officer for Strategic Programs
Chief, Arms Control Intelligence Staff


FROM: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT: NIE 4/11-82 Coordination

1. Here are some comments on the draft NIE 4/11-82, Volume I, which I looked at over the weekend. At some points it seems much too general to me. See page 65, paragraph 90. I think two appendices might be considered, one on the methods and value of on-site inspection, including sensors. The Arms Control Staff has done a five- or six-page analysis of methods of inspection, with potential for frustration, etc., which I think would be a good addition to the document.

2. Another appendix might be a general review (not much more than a checklist) of compliance issues which have been encountered in the SALT process.

3. Also, the issue of cheating might be addressed with some description of how it is frequently resolved, i.e., the potential benefits of cheating are found not to be worth the risk. Turner went through this in some detail in his testimony before the Senate on SALT II.


William J. Casey

TOP SECRET

25X1